



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/532,663

12/05/2005

Robert Fuchs

0552-1016

8954

466 7590 04/16/2010

YOUNG & THOMPSON
209 Madison Street
Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

HIBBERT, CATHERINE S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1636

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/16/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/532,663	Applicant(s) FUCHS ET AL.	
	Examiner CATHERINE HIBBERT	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) CATHERINE HIBBERT.

(2) Attorney Ben Castel for Atty Andrew Patch.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:50am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Catherine Hibbert
Examiner AU1636
/NANCY VOGEL/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was notified that the Claim Amendments filed 1/20/2010 were not in compliance with CFR 1.121 because the currently amended Claim 4, in line 12 contains newly added text (a comma) which was not present in the previous claims and has not been indicated as new by any markings such as by underlining. The applicant's representative confirmed that the newly added comma in line 12 was an inadvertant error and was not intended to be present in the claim and it was agreed that the claims would be entered as filed but that the examiner would examine the claims with the interpretation that the comma in line 12 was not present and was an inadvertant error.